

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/685,746	Applicant(s) RUBSAMEN ET AL.	
	Examiner Mina Haghighatian	Art Unit 1616	

All Participants:

(1) Mina Haghighatian.

(2) Karl Bozicevic.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 May 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

ALL

Prior art documents discussed:

None


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner telephoned Applicant to discuss potential allowance of some claims. Examiner indicated that claims 1-6, 20-21 and 24-26 are allowable, while claims 8-13 could be allowable if amended to depend on claim 1 only (deleting "or 7") but claims 7, 19 and 22-23 are not allowable. Applicant agreed to cancelling claims 7, 19 and 22-23 and amending claims 8-13. The attached Examiner's Amendment shows the said amendments.